

# CNPLAW LLP RECOMMENDED IN BENCHMARK LITIGATION ASIA PACIFIC 2022

Posted on June 1, 2022



Category: [News](#)

## General disclaimer

This article is provided to you for general information and should not be relied upon as legal advice. The editor and the contributing authors do not guarantee the accuracy of the contents and expressly disclaim any and all liability to any person in respect of the consequences of anything done or permitted to be done or omitted to be done wholly or partly in reliance upon the whole or any part of the contents.

**The Partners Involved: See Tow Soo Ling, Ravindran Ramasamy, Subramanian Pillai, Venetia Tan, Edwin Chia. and Hu Huimin.**

CNPLaw LLP is pleased to announce that we have been recommended by Benchmark Litigation Asia-Pacific 2022 for the fifth year in a row.

We have been recognised in the following categories.

- **Commercial and Transactions**
- **Family and matrimonial**
- **International arbitration - Domestic firms**
- **Insolvency notable firm**
- **Private client notable firm**

CNPLaw LLP would like to thank our dispute resolution team for their hard work and dedication throughout the year. These recognitions are a testament to their efforts and drive for excellence.

Quote from Benchmark Litigation “ CNPLaw’s dispute resolution team of 10 partners has extensive experience in all aspects of civil litigation and alternative disputes, including arbitration and mediation. The team focuses on corporate and commercial litigation, construction, employment and family-related disputes. It has a solid track record of handling case matters in various industry sectors, including consumer product manufacturing, financial services, real estate, construction and professional regulatory bodies.”

Benchmark Litigation was first published in 2008 covering the litigation and disputes markets in United States and Canada and has since broadened its coverage to include Asia-Pacific, Europe and Latin America. The Benchmark Litigation Asia-Pacific edition covers rankings based on three key criteria, namely case evidence, peer feedback and client feedback.

#### General disclaimer

This article is provided to you for general information and should not be relied upon as legal advice. The editor and the contributing authors do not guarantee the accuracy of the contents and expressly disclaim any and all liability to any person in respect of the consequences of anything done or permitted to be done or omitted to be done wholly or partly in reliance upon the whole or any part of the contents.